UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,951	10/31/2003	Wassim Haddad	1509-474	7625
22429 7590 04/02/2009 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300			LUU, LE HEN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2454	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/697,951	HADDAD, WASSIM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Le Luu	2441			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/31 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

1. Claims 1-37 are presented for examination.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2441

4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Chaskar et al. (Chaskar), Pub. No. 2004/0196808.

- 5. As to claim 1, Chaskar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of attempting the creation of a network connection between a computing device and a network by using a processor capable of determining bandwidth wherein the method comprises causing the processor to determine the bandwidth associated with at least a portion of the network connection that it is desired to make to the computing device from the network and further causing the processor to assess whether this bandwidth is available from the network before commencing creating the connection (page 3, paragraphs [0026 0031]; page 4, paragraph [0039]; page 6, paragraphs [0053 0054]).
- 6. As to claims 2-7, Chaskar teaches hand-over a network connection to the network from an existing network; the network connection between the computing device and at least one of the network and the existing network comprises at least one channel; determine the bandwidth required by the at least one of the channels within the network connection; some channels to be maintained with the existing network, whilst other channels are handed over to the network; some channels to be handed over to the network whilst other channels are terminated if they are not handed over to the network; ranks the order of importance of the channels to be handed over to the

network (page 3, paragraphs [0026 - 0031]; page 4, paragraph [0041]; page 6,

paragraphs [0053 - 0054]).

7. As to claims 8-10, Chaskar teaches hand-over a connection between an existing network and a network each running the same protocol; hand-over a connection from an existing network comprising a first cell of a network and a network comprising a second, different, cell of that network; hand-over a connection from an existing network

8. As to claims 11-12, Chaskar teaches the bandwidth of connections that exist within the network are restricted in order to allow the network hand-over to take place; the restriction comprises termination (page 4, paragraph [0041]).

to a network operating on a different protocol (page 4, paragraph [0041]).

- 9. Claims 13-37 have similar limitations as claims 1-12; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/697,951

Art Unit: 2441

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Le Luu/

Page 5

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2441